DIAGNOSTIC STANDARDS

Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology

Recommendations for the reporting of resected esophageal carcinomas

Received: 10 April 2000 / Accepted: 10 April 2000

Introduction

The Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology (ADASP) has named several committees to develop recommendations regarding the content of the surgical pathology report for common malignant tumors. A committee of individuals with special interest and expertise write the recommendations, and they are reviewed and approved by the council of ADASP and subsequently by the entire membership.

The recommendations have been divided into the following four major areas: (1) items that provide an informative gross description; (2) additional diagnostic features that are recommended to be included in every report if possible; (3) optional features that may be included in the final report; and (4) a checklist.

The purpose of these recommendations is to provide an informative report for the clinician. The recommendations are intended as suggestions and adherence to them is completely voluntary. In special clinical circumstances, the recommendations may not be applicable. The recommendations are intended as an educational resource rather than a mandate.

R.C. Haggitt, H.D. Appelman, K.J. Lewin, and R.H. Riddell are Ad Hoc Committee Members of the Association of Directors of Anatomic and Surgical Pathology

R.C. Haggitt: deceased

R.C. Haggitt

Department of Pathology University of Washington Seattle, Washington, USA

H.D. Appelman

Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

K.J. Lewin

Department of Pathology, UCLA Center for Health Sciences, Los Angeles, California, USA

R.H. Riddell

Department of Pathology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Features to be included in the final report

The following data document the identity and source of the specimen and provide information useful for the pathologic evaluation and subsequent staging of the neoplasm. They are generally accepted as being of prognostic value, required for therapy and/or traditionally expected.

Gross description

- 1. Identifying features of the specimen: labeled with patient name, medical record number, source of specimen, etc.
- How the specimen was received: fresh, in fixative (specify type), unopened, opened, etc., and how designated
- 3. Appropriate overall gross description, including nature of the specimen (segmental esophagectomy, esophagogastrectomy, etc.), measurements (including overall length of specimen, length of esophagus, length of stomach), amount and nature of periesophageal tissue included.
- 4. Description of opened specimen including neoplasm (gross appearance, measurements in three dimensions, etc.), and mucosal surface away from neoplasm (evidence of Barrett's esophagus, other abnormalities), distance of neoplasm from proximal and distal margins.

Note, if the lesion arises in the gastroesophageal junction region and involves both the esophagus and stomach, it should be classified as an esophageal carcinoma if the epicenter of the lesion is in the esophagus, as a gastric carcinoma if the epicenter is in the stomach, and as a gastroesophageal junction primary if the epicenter coincides with the esophagogastric junction. For this purpose, the gastroesophageal junction is defined as the junction between the tubular esophagus and the saccular stomach.

- 5. Description of any additional structures included (stomach, pericardium, etc.).
- 6. If margins are inked (proximal, distal, radial), provide code
- 7. Paraffin block key (ideally at end rather than incorporated into narrative).

Diagnostic information

- 1. Topography. The type of specimen should be specified: esophagus, esophagus and proximal stomach, etc.
- 2. Procedure. The type of surgical procedure should be stated: total or segmental esophagectomy, esophagogastrectomy; as well as how the procedure was carried out, if known (transhiatal or transthoracic).
- 3. Histologic type of neoplasm. Use of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification is recommended.
 - Squamous cell carcinoma (including pseudosarcomatous)
 - Adenocarcinoma
 - Adenoid cystic carcinoma (basaloid squamous)
 - Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
 - Adenosquamous carcinoma
 - Undifferentiated carcinoma
 - Other
- Histologic grade of neoplasm. Use of the American Joint Committee on Cancer grading system recommended.
 - Grade cannot be assessed (GX)
 - Well differentiated (G1)
 - Moderately differentiated (G2)
 - Poorly differentiated (G3)
 - Undifferentiated (G4)
- 5. Extent of invasion of neoplasm in the esophagus, utilizing TNM system.
 - a. None (Tis). Note, although Tis refers to carcinomain-situ, the authors prefer the term high-grade dysplasia for this lesion.
 - b. Limited to lamina propria (intramucosal carcinoma) (T1a)
 - c. Into submucosa (T1b)
 - d. Into muscularis propria (T2)
 - e. Into adventitia (T3)
 - f. Into adjacent structures (T4)

Note, in specimens resected following radiation or chemotherapy, or both, a comment should be made about whether or not viable-appearing neoplastic tissue remains. If none is identifiable, a comment regarding the extent of the radiation/chemotherapy-induced injury should be made, i.e., its depth of extension into the esophageal wall as an indication of the probable depth of invasion of the neoplasm.

- 6. Mucosal abnormalities away from carcinoma.
 - a. Squamous epithelial dysplasia
 - b. Presence of Barrett's metaplastic epithelium
 - c. Dysplasia in Barrett's metaplastic epithelium
 - d. Other

- 7. Surgical margins.
 - a. Status of proximal and distal surgical margins.
 - b. Status of radial (adventitial) margin.
 - c. If Barrett's esophagus, nature of mucosa at proximal margin (squamous vs Barrett's; if Barrett's, comment on presence or absence of dysplasia).
 - d. If distal mucosal margin is stomach, comment on any gastric abnormalities (*Helicobacter pylori* gastritis, etc.).
- 8. Lymph nodes.
 - a. Report total number of nodes/number containing metastatic carcinoma.

Optional features to be included in the final report reflect institutional preferences or features that have not gained general acceptance as independent prognostic indicators.

- 1. Genetic abnormalities
- 2. Flow cytometric analysis
- 3. Growth factors and receptors
- 4. Stage using American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM system (0–IVB)

Diagnostic checklist

Site of neoplasm

- Cervical esophagus [from lower border of cricoid cartilage to thoracic inlet (suprasternal notch)]
- Intrathoracic esophagus (definitions given are from the AJCC manual)
 - Upper portion (thoracic inlet to tracheal bifurcation)
 - Mid portion (tracheal bifurcation to just above esophago-gastric junction)
 - Lower thoracic portion (includes intraabdominal portion of esophagus and esophago–gastric junction)
 - Not specified

Type of resection

- Transthoracic
- Transhiatal
- Not specified

Resection specimen

- Esophagectomy
- Esophago–gastrectomy
- Other (specify)

Dimensions of neoplasm __ cm × __ cm × __ cm

Distance to surgical margins: __ cm to proximal margin; __ cm to distal margin

Macroscopic depth of penetration of neoplasm

- Into submucosa
- Into muscularis propria

- Through esophageal wall
- Into adjacent structures (specify trachea, pericardium, etc.)
- Uncertain

Barrett's esophagus

- Present grossly
- Present at proximal margin grossly
- Not apparent grossly
- Uncertain

Histologic type of neoplasm

- Squamous cell carcinoma (including pseudosarcomatous)
- Adenocarcinoma
- Adenoid cystic carcinoma (basaloid squamous)
- Mucoepidermoid carcinoma
- Adenosquamous carcinoma
- Undifferentiated carcinoma

Histologic grade of carcinoma

- Grade cannot be assessed
- Well differentiated
- Moderately differentiated
- Poorly differentiated
- Undifferentiated

Depth of infiltration of neoplasm

- High-grade dysplasia only
- Limited to lamina propria
- Into submucosa
- Into muscularis propria
- Into adventitia
- Into adjacent structures (specify)

Mucosal abnormalities away from carcinoma

- Squamous epithelial dysplasia
- Barrett's metaplastic epithelium: __ with dysplasia;
 without dysplasia
- Other (for example, heterotopic gastric mucosa in cervical esophagus ("inlet patch")

Status of surgical margins

Proximal	margin	free of	carcinoma:	Ve	es.	no

Proximal	margin composed of	squamous	epithelium:
ves.	no: with dysplasia:	ves.	no

Proximal	margin	composed	of Barrett's	metaplastic	epi-
thelium:	yes,	no; with	dysplasia: _	yes, no	_

Distal margin free of carcinoma: yes, no
Status of lymph nodes Total number of lymph nodes: Total number involved by metastatic carcinoma
Tissue submitted for special investigative studies
Flow cytometry: yes, no
Tissue frozen: yes, no

References

Other (specify)

Hermanek P, Sobin LH (1992) TNM classification of malignant tumors: international union against cancer, 4th edn. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

Hermanek P, Henson DE, Hutter RVP, Sobin LH (eds) (1993) TNM supplement 1993: a commentary on uniform use. International Union Against Cancer, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

Klimstra D (1994) Pathologic prognostic factors in esophageal carcinoma. Semin Oncol 18:321–330

Lee RG, Compton CC (1997) Protocol for the examination of specimens removed from patients with esophageal carcinoma: a basis for checklists. Arch Pathol Lab Med 121:925–929

Lewin KJ, Appelman HD (1996) Handling of esophageal and gastric biopsy and resection specimens. In: Lewin KJ, Appelman HD (eds) Atlas of tumor pathology: tumors of the esophagus and stomach, 3rd series, Fas 18. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, DC, pp 3–16

Lieberman MD, Shriver CD, Bleckner S, Burt M (1995) Carcinoma of the esophagus: prognostic significance of histologic type. J Thor Cardiovasc Surg 109:130–138

Paraf F, Fléjou J-F, Pignon J-P, Fékété F, Potet F (1995) Surgical pathology of adenocarcinoma arising in Barrett's esophagus: an analysis of 67 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 19:183–191

Roder JD, Stein HJ, Siewert JR (1995) Esophageal carcinoma. In: Hermanek P, Henson DE, Hutter RVP, Sobin LH (eds) Prognostic factors in Cancer. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 37–46

Sarbia M, Bittinger F, Porschen R, Dutlowski P, Willers R, Gabbert HE (1995) Prognostic value of histopathologic parameters of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer 76:922–927

Spiessel B, Beahrs OH, Hermanek P, et al. (1992) TNM atlas. Illustrated guide to the TNM/pTNM classification of malignant tumors, 3rd edn. International Union Against Cancer. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, p 66

Watanabe H, Jass JR, Sobin LH (1990) Histologic typing of oesophageal and gastric tumours: World Health Organization, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York